



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/045,080

01/15/2002

Raymond Anthony Joao

RJ470

2925

7590 05/20/2008  
RAYMOND A. JOAO, ESQ.  
122 BELLEVUE PLACE  
YONKERS, NY 10703

EXAMINER

RUDY, ANDREW J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3687

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/20/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/045,080	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY	
	<b>Examiner</b> Andrew Joseph Rudy	<b>Art Unit</b> 3687	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Joseph Rudy. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Raymond Joao (Reg. No. 35,907). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Joao et al., 7,096,003.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Joao presented reasoned analysis as to why Joao '003 did not meet claim limitations of the present application. Further, Mr. Joao addressed the 35 USC 112 issue and why claim 43 is proper in its present format. This analysis regarding both issues appeared convincing, but will be further addressed upon submission of a formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required